

1872 Proposal by Clemens Herschel, Civ. Engr., to Dam the North River

Scanned by Lyle Nyberg 8/15/24 at Norwell
Historical Society, then edited for clarity.
Converted to grayscale, adjusted brightness
and contrast. Cpnverted to PDF. Note:
missing page 7. See author's website for full
transcript.

Personal Copy, WSW
I have deposited the Original
Copy of this Manuscript in
Archives of Scituate Historical Society
1000 Main
July 1963

WILLIAM G. VINAL
R. F. D. #2, Grove Street
Norwell, Mass.

NORTH RIVER

To help interpret manuscript

In a U.S. Coast Survey
Map (1870), Prof. B. Peirce, Supt
by H. L. Whitney asst by 4 students of M.I.T.

"Slanting Spar" refers to Sandpoint SE of
4th Cliff, or a spar buoy with mast
above water, usually upright but in
this case slanting

Shingle dyke refers to
flat stones worn by water
to make a "Shingle Beach"

Rogers
wharf

Slanting
spar

Scale $\frac{1}{40,000}$

Old
mouth

"Shingle" Dyke

4th Cliff

Survey

Civil Engineering in all its branches.

Iron and other Bridges and
Roofs, Hydraulic Engineering, Roads,
River and Harbor Improvements,
&c., &c.

Branch Office in Bartlett's Block.

JAMAICA PLAIN

OFFICE OF CLEMENS HERSCHEL,

CIVIL AND HYDRAULIC ENGINEER,

9 STATE STREET,

Boston, June 15 1872

To the Town of South Scituate,
Thomas J. Tolan and others, owners of
North River Marshes;

Gentlemen:

Agreeable to your instructions, I have devised plans for the drainage of North River marshes in conformity to the provisions of Chap. 287 Acts of 1871 and have made an estimate thereof and beg leave very briefly to report:

General Remarks

and Data.

The situation of the North River marshes is more than ordinarily favorable for their drainage and improvement.

Between Rogers Wharf, the location of the proposed dyke, and Waterman and Barstow's Ship Yard, there are about 2338. acres of marsh land, including islands, and about 815 acres of rivers and creeks at high-water mark.

The amount of fresh water to be emptied through the sluices was ascertained by measuring the outflow at North River Bridge and off every stream emptying into North River below this point, April 3^d, 4th & 5th, 1872.

at a time when the snow was melting and the river and creeks were at what may be called ordinary spring high water.

Their sum total was found to be 256 cubic feet per second.

In the calculations, provision, was made for discharging this quantity and besides an effective rain fall of 2 inches per 24 hours on about 3500 acres, which amounted to 291.5 cubic feet per second more, making a total capacity of discharge of 547.5 cubic feet per second, without raising the inside water level higher than about 2 feet 2 inches below the present marsh level.

These duties are evidently all that need be required of the proposed sluices.

Without the rain-fall, the inside water would not rise within about one foot of the above height, that is, only up to 3 feet 2 inches below marsh level and generally with an average flow of the river, the inside water level would be lower still.

In all these cases I have supposed a channel 40 feet wide to be dredged or dug through the bar at Blanting Spar, its bottom on a level $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet above ocean low water; the sluices I propose however to place with their flooring on the

level of ocean low water, so that if for any reason it should ever be desirable to drain the marshes at a still lower level than is now contemplated, the improvement and dredging out of the river below Rogers Wharf will furnish a ready means for that purpose.

The required works may be enumerated as.

- 1.] the dyke and sluices at Rogers Wharf;
- 2.] digging a channel through the shoal at Slanting Spar;
- 3.] raising the natural dyke or shingle line from Rogers Wharf to 3^d Cliff, and
- 4.] the dam at Waterman and Barstow's Ship Yard.

Dyke and } The proposed dyke and sluices
Sluices at } are shown on the accompanying
Rogers Wharf. } drawing.

There are to be three sluices, each 9 feet 7 inches wide by 7 and the centre one 8 feet high inside measurement.

The centre one is made 1 foot higher to enable small boats the more easily to pass through the sluices when the gates are open.

The dimensions of the timbers have been taken ample, so as to insure a greater degree of durability and safety for this work.

49

than is customary on structures of a less important character.

It is proposed to place the 3 sluices in what is now the deepest part of the river, about 220 feet off of Rogers Wharf and to build them inside of a temporary coffer-dam.

The drawings will probably explain themselves.

All iron work on the gates &c. to be galvanized.

At the inside end of the sluices there is to be an ordinary sliding gate to be raised or lowered by means of a windlass.

These gates to come into use to dam back the fresh water should it ever be desired to flood the meadows.

The dyke is to be formed of gravel taken from the adjoining hill.

The top of the dyke to be placed on a line $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet higher than was reached by the storm-tide of April 16, 17 & 18, 1857, generally known as the "Minst's Storm", or about $8\frac{1}{2}$ feet above marsh level.

The cross-section of the dyke to be as shown, flatter on the sea side than on the inside, being respectively 1 on $\frac{1}{2}$, 1 on 2, and 1 on 3, and 1 on $1\frac{1}{3}$.

The top width - abt. 6 feet.

These dimensions and levels should be the same at all times; care should be taken in the construction of the dyke to prevent settling, by wetting and rolling the materials as they are carted on, and if the dyke should never ~~theless~~ settle after finishing, the original height should be restored.

The river is about 360. feet wide off Rogers Wharf and about 800 feet more of low dyke will be required to meet the highest part of the shingle levee.

Digging a channel }
at Blauting Spar. } The required channel at Blauting Spar needs to be about 1000. feet long, 40 feet wide and averages less than $1\frac{1}{2}$ feet in depth to bring the bottom on grade $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet above ocean low water.

It would perhaps be most advantageous to dig this channel last of all.

The natural dyke }
or shingle levee. } This barrier will form a part of the protection to the marshes no less important than that afforded by the dyke at Rogers Wharf.

Being exposed to the full force of the outside breakers, it must be higher than an inner or protected dyke.

I take the proper height for it, to be

that given by Prof. Mitchell of the U. S. Coast Survey, to wit. 14 feet above mean high water of the sea, or about $5\frac{1}{2}$ feet higher than what I have taken for the top level of the dyke at Rogers Wharf.

Prof. Mitchell measured the elevation of the Shingle dyke between the 3^d and 4th Cliffs, and I have levelled on that part of the shingle levee, lying between the 4th Cliff and Rogers Wharf.

Altogether about 5000. feet in length or one mile, will have to be raised about 2 feet on the average, to make this natural dyke nowhere less than 14 feet above mean high water of the sea.

There is this in favor of this project of the enterprize and of important advantage, that the natural tendency expressed for a great length of time, is to build up and lengthen this natural barrier.

Nature will favor any attempt at raising the same and work against any attempt to cut through or lower it.

I propose to raise the levee by a low embankment heaped up a little to the backward of the highest part of the beach and of the shingle lying close by and should expect such an embankment to be increased

Dam at Waterman
and Barstow's
Ship Yard.

Chap. 287 Acts of 1871 provides
that, "upon the closing of said
(North) river with dam and flood-
gates at Whites Ferry, said proprietors shall
erect and maintain a dam or other suitable
structure at or below a point formerly known
as Waterman and Barstow's ship yard, and to
construct the same in such manner that
the water above said dam shall at no time be
allowed to fall more than eight inches below
the banks of the river above North River Bridge
so long as the dam at Whites Ferry shall be
maintained."

To effect all of this, I have designed an
overflow dam or waste-weir 50 feet wide, whose
top is to be about 1 foot 4 inches below the marsh
level opposite the ship yard; on either side
of this 50 feet space the dam is continued
over the river and marsh till it strikes the
upland. This part to be on a level about
1 foot 2 inches above that of the marsh.

At a summer low stage of the river,
putting on cin flashboards will keep the water
at the level prescribed in the act, while the
removal or turning down of these flashboards
at high water, which can be made to take
place of itself by the increased pressure of

9

the water, will vent the high water run of the river without overflowing the rest of the dam. The dam is proposed to be a well built crib-work and stone dam, with hinged flash boards.

The low part over the marshes to be an embankment of gravel.

This structure increases the total cost, as will be seen in the estimates, by \$2300. and it further increases the cost per acre of land benefitted, by diminishing the number of acres, so that without it the cost would be reduced to about nine (9) dollars per acre.

Estimates. }

1.] Dyke and sluices at Rogers Wharf.

Earth filling; —————	10500 cu yds @ \$ 0.40	4200.
Sheet piling:		
" in coffer dam.	61.5 M ^{B.M.}	
" sheet piling.	63.0 " "	
	<hr/> 124.5 " " @ \$ 30.00	3735
" " <u>to drive.</u>		
" in coffer dam, lineal ft.	616.0	
" sheet piling.	700.0	
	<hr/> 1316.0 @ 1.25	1645.
		<hr/> \$ 9580.

the water, will vent the high water run of the river without overflowing the rest of the dam. The dam is proposed to be a well built crib-work and stone dam, with hinged flash boards.

The low part over the marshes to be an embankment of gravel.

This structure increases the total cost, as will be seen in the estimates, by \$2300. and it further increases the cost per acre of land benefitted, by diminishing the number of acres, so that without it the cost would be reduced to about nine (9) dollars per acre.

Estimates. }

1.] Dyke and sluices at Rogers Wharf.

Earth filling; ~~~~~	10500 cub yds @ \$ 0.40	4200.
Sheet piling:		
" " in coffer dam.	61.5 M ^{B.M.}	
" " sheet piling.	63.0 " " "	
	<hr/> 124.5 " " " @ 30.00	3735
" " to drive.		
" " in coffer dam, lineal ft. 616.0		
" " sheet piling.	700.0	
	<hr/> 1316.0 @ 1.25	1645.
		<hr/> \$ 9580.

Amount brought forward

\$9580.

Puddling for coffer dam.	1186	cu. yds @ 0.75	889.
Round piles	164	@ 5.00	820.
<u>Sluice and gates:</u>			
Timber, inclusive of 5% waste.	90 M ^{ft}	B.M. @ 35.00	3150.
Trussing	" " " "	" 20.00	1800.
Iron bolts, straps, hinges &c.			1000.
Sowing dyke with grass seed	6000	sq yds @ 0.10	600.
Pumping water,	30	days @ \$33 $\frac{1}{8}$.	1000.
			<hr/> 18839.

2.] Channel at Slauting Spar.

Excavation, — — — 1933 cu. yds. 1000.

3.] Raising natural dyke on shingle beach.

Embankment:

Below 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ -Cliff, 2096 cu. yds

Between 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ and 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ Cliffs, 300 ..

2396 " @ .30 718.

4.] Dam at

Waterman and Barlow's Ship yard. — 2000.

Engineering and Superintendence, — 1500.

24057.

Add 15% for contingencies & omissions. —

3608.

27665.

or about \$11.83 per acre of
marsh benefitted.

Finance. } A few words on the finances
of the undertaking of draining
the North River Marshes, though not
coming strictly within the province
of the Engineer, may not be con-
sidered out of place, when given for
what they may be worth by one
somewhat familiar with this part also
of an enterprise of this kind.

Commissioners appointed by the
Superior Court under Chap. 148 of the
General Statutes, can raise for the expenses
to be incurred by them in the prosecution
of their duties, only so much money as
parties having faith in the success of the
undertaking and interested in its being
carried out, will advance to them on se-
curity offered by a tax assessed and in
default of payment of said tax, a tax title,
on all the land benefitted.

At least so it has been held in
one case where it was assumed that the
Commissioners could not assess the tax,
until the work was completed.

The fact of the improvement
costing as much or more per acre as the
land is now worth, need therefore deter
no one from furthering the undertaking.

provided only the value of the land after it is benefitted be more than its original value added to this cost; if drained meadows are not worth \$22. per acre, then the time has not yet come to do this work, but if it is worth more than \$22. per acre and enough owners see this and are willing and ready to substantiate their ~~their~~ belief by advances of the funds necessary to bring about this increased value, then the improvement need wait no longer.

All of which is respectfully submitted,

Clemens Kerschel
Civ. Engr.